



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/125,814	08/26/98	DOHI	M Q51505

HM22/0926
SUGHRUE MION ZINN MACPEAK & SEAS
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE N W
WASHINGTON DC 20037

EXAMINER

BERMAN, A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1619

15

DATE MAILED: 09/26/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

Advisory Action

Application N .

09/125,814

Examiner

Alysia Berman

Applicant(s)

DOHI ET AL.

Art Unit

1619

-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -

THE REPLY FILED _____ FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance or a Notice of Appeal. Alternatively, applicant may obtain further examination by timely filing a request for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d).

PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]

- a) ☒ The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 b) ☐ In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 707.07 (f)), the period for reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136 (a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked.

1. ☐ A Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
 2. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief with requisite fees.
 3. ☒ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
 (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (see NOTE below);
 (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter. (see Note below);
 (c) ☒ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
 (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.


NOTE: _____

4. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.
 5. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
 6. ☒ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
 7. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
 8. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
 Claim(s) allowed: _____.
 Claim(s) objected to: _____.
 Claim(s) rejected: 19-32, 34 and 36-45.
 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.
 9. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ a) ☐ has b) ☐ has not been approved by the Examiner.
 10. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.
 11. ☐ Other: _____

DIANA DUDASH

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Continuation of 6. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments do not overcome the rejections of paper no. 13. US '500 teaches uniform dispersion of the polypeptide on or in the water-absorbing and water-insoluble base. The references teaches that a water-absorbing and water-soluble base may be added. However, it does not teach or suggest the polypeptide on or in the water-absorbing and water-soluble base. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would reasonably conclude that the polypeptide is dispersed on or in the water-absorbing and water-insoluble base rather than on or in the water-absorbing and water-soluble base, thereby achieving the uneven dispersion desired by Applicant. Applicant has not supplied evidence showing that the process of Applicant obtains a different product than that of US '500. Regarding the graph provided in the amendment filed 05 May 2000, the y-axis is not labeled so that one can determine what is being measured against time.



DIANA DUDASH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600